

# Fujitsu Server PRIMERGY

## Performance Report

### PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5

This document provides an overview of benchmarks executed on the Fujitsu Server PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5.

Explains PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5 performance data in comparison to other PRIMERGY models. In addition to the benchmark results, the explanation for each benchmark and benchmark environment are also included.

#### Version

1.5

2023-10-03



## Contents

<b>Technical data .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Suffix of Processor number shows additional feature of Xeon Processor.....	8
<b>SPEC CPU2017 .....</b>	<b>10</b>
Benchmark description .....	10
Benchmark environment .....	11
Benchmark results.....	13
<b>STREAM .....</b>	<b>16</b>
Benchmark description .....	16
Benchmark environment .....	17
Benchmark results.....	18
<b>LINPACK .....</b>	<b>20</b>
Benchmark description .....	20
Benchmark environment .....	21
Benchmark results.....	22
<b>SPECpower_ssj2008 .....</b>	<b>25</b>
Benchmark description .....	25
Benchmark environment .....	26
Benchmark results.....	28
<b>OLTP-2 .....</b>	<b>29</b>
Benchmark environment .....	29
Benchmark results.....	32
<b>vServCon .....</b>	<b>36</b>
Benchmark description .....	36
Benchmark environment .....	37
Benchmark results.....	39
<b>Literature.....</b>	<b>43</b>

## Technical data

### PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5



### PRIMERGY CX2570 M5



Decimal prefixes according to the SI standard are used for measurement units in this white paper (e.g. 1 GB =  $10^9$  bytes). In contrast, these prefixes should be interpreted as binary prefixes (e.g. 1 GB =  $2^{30}$  bytes) for the capacities of caches and memory modules. Separate reference will be made to any further exceptions where applicable.

Model	PRIMERGY CX2550 M5	PRIMERGY CX2560M5	PRIMERGY CX2570 M5
cooling method	air cooling	liquid cooling	air cooling
Form factor	Server node		
Chipset	Intel C624		
Number of sockets	2		
Number of processors orderable	1 or 2		
Processor type	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family		
Number of memory slots	16 (8 per processor)		
Maximum memory configuration	2,048 GB		
Storage Controller	Onboard SATA Controller		
SATA Interface (Onboard)	SATA × 2 port	SATA × 6 port	
PCI slots	2 × PCI-Express 3.0 x16		1 × PCI-Express 3.0 x16

Processor								
Processor model	Number of cores	Number of threads	L3 Cache	UPI speed	Rated frequency	Maximum turbo frequency	Maximum memory frequency	TDP
			[MB]	[GT/s]	[GHz]	[GHz]	[MHz]	[W]
April 2019 released								
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	52	35.8	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.9	3.9	2,933	205
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
	20	40						
	16	32						
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	3.9	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	48	33.0	10.4	1.9	3.6	2,933	135
Xeon Gold 6254	18	36	24.8	10.4	3.1	4.0	2,933	200
Xeon Gold 6252	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6248	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.5	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6246	12	24	24.8	10.4	3.3	4.2	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6244	8	16	24.8	10.4	3.6	4.4	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6242	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.8	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
	14	28						
	8	16						
Xeon Gold 6240	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.6	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	44	30.3	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	140
Xeon Gold 6238	22	44	30.3	10.4	2.1	3.7	2,933	140
Xeon Gold 6234	8	16	24.8	10.4	3.3	4.0	2,933	130
Xeon Gold 6230	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.1	3.9	2,933	125
Xeon Gold 6226	12	24	19.3	10.4	2.7	3.7	2,933	125
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	40	27.5	10.4	1.8	3.6	2,400	115
Xeon Gold 5222	4	8	16.5	10.4	3.8	3.9	2,933	105
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.7	3.9	2,666	125
Xeon Gold 5220	18	36	24.8	10.4	2.2	3.9	2,666	125
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.3	3.9	2,666	125

Xeon Gold 5218	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.3	3.9	2,666	125
Xeon Gold 5217	8	16	11.0	10.4	3.0	3.7	2,666	115
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	20	13.8	10.4	2.5	3.4	2,666	85
Xeon Gold 5215	10	20	13.8	10.4	2.5	3.4	2,666	85
Xeon Silver 4216	16	32	22.0	9.6	2.1	3.2	2,400	100
Xeon Silver 4215	8	16	11.0	9.6	2.5	3.5	2,400	85
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	24	16.5	9.6	2.2	3.2	2,400	85
	10	20						
	8	16						
Xeon Silver 4214	12	24	16.5	9.6	2.2	3.2	2,400	85
Xeon Silver 4210	10	20	13.8	9.6	2.2	3.2	2,400	85
Xeon Silver 4208	8	16	11.0	9.6	2.1	3.2	2,400	85
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	6	8.3	9.6	1.9		2,133	85
<b>March 2020 released,</b>								
Xeon Gold 6258R	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.7	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6256	12	24	33.0	10.4	3.6	4.5	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6250	8	16	35.8	10.4	3.9	4.5	2,933	185
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	48	35.8	10.4	3.0	4.0	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	32	35.8	10.4	3.4	4.1	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	40	35.8	10.4	3.1	4.1	2,933	205
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.4	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	56	38.5	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,933	165
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	52	35.8	10.4	2.1	4.0	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	32	22.0	10.4	2.9	3.9	2,933	150
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	48	35.8	10.4	2.2	4.0	2,666	150
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	40	27.5	10.4	2.1	4.0	2,666	125
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	16	11.0	9.6	3.2	4.0	2,400	130
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	24	16.5	9.6	2.4	3.5	2,400	100
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	20	13.8	9.6	2.4	3.2	2,400	100
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	8	11.0	9.6	1.9		2,133	85

Model	CX2550 M5(air cooling)	CX2550 M5 (liquid cooling)	CX2560M5	CX2570 M5	
April 2019 released					
Supported Processors		Xeon Platinum 8280M Xeon Platinum 8280 Xeon Platinum 8276M Xeon Platinum 8276 Xeon Platinum 8270 Xeon Platinum 8268 Xeon Platinum 8260M Xeon Platinum 8260Y Xeon Platinum 8260 Xeon Gold 6262V Xeon Gold 6252 Xeon Gold 6248 Xeon Gold 6246 Xeon Gold 6242 Xeon Gold 6240M Xeon Gold 6240Y Xeon Gold 6240 Xeon Gold 6238M Xeon Gold 6238 Xeon Gold 6234 Xeon Gold 6230 Xeon Gold 6226 Xeon Gold 6222V Xeon Gold 5222 Xeon Gold 5220S Xeon Gold 5220 Xeon Gold 5218B Xeon Gold 5218 Xeon Gold 5217 Xeon Gold 5215M Xeon Gold 5215	Xeon Gold 6262V Xeon Gold 6252 Xeon Gold 6248 Xeon Gold 6242 Xeon Gold 6240M Xeon Gold 6240 Xeon Gold 6238M Xeon Gold 6238 Xeon Gold 6234 Xeon Gold 6230 Xeon Gold 6226 Xeon Gold 6222V Xeon Gold 5222 Xeon Gold 5220S Xeon Gold 5220 Xeon Gold 5218B Xeon Gold 5218 Xeon Gold 5217 Xeon Gold 5215M Xeon Silver 4216 Xeon Silver 4215 Xeon Silver 4214Y Xeon Silver 4214 Xeon Silver 4210 Xeon Silver 4208 Xeon Bronze 3204	Xeon Platinum 8280M Xeon Platinum 8280 Xeon Platinum 8276M Xeon Platinum 8276 Xeon Platinum 8270 Xeon Platinum 8268 Xeon Platinum 8260M Xeon Platinum 8260Y Xeon Platinum 8260 Xeon Gold 6262V Xeon Gold 6252 Xeon Gold 6248 Xeon Gold 6246 Xeon Gold 6244 Xeon Gold 6242 Xeon Gold 6240M Xeon Gold 6240Y Xeon Gold 6240 Xeon Gold 6238M Xeon Gold 6238 Xeon Gold 6234 Xeon Gold 6230 Xeon Gold 6226 Xeon Gold 6222V Xeon Gold 5222 Xeon Gold 5220S Xeon Gold 5220 Xeon Gold 5218B Xeon Gold 5218 Xeon Gold 5217 Xeon Gold 5215M Xeon Gold 5215 Xeon Silver 4216 Xeon Silver 4215 Xeon Silver 4214Y Xeon Silver 4214 Xeon Silver 4210 Xeon Silver 4208 Xeon Bronze 3204	
	March 2020 released				
	Supported Processors	Xeon Gold 6240R Xeon Gold 6238R Xeon Gold 6230R Xeon Gold 6226R Xeon Gold 5220R	Xeon Gold 6258R Xeon Gold 6256 Xeon Gold 6250 Xeon Gold 6248R Xeon Gold 6246R	Xeon Gold 6230R Xeon Gold 6226R Xeon Gold 5220R Xeon Gold 5218R Xeon Silver 4215R	Xeon Gold 6258R Xeon Gold 6256 Xeon Gold 6250 Xeon Gold 6248R Xeon Gold 6246R

	Xeon Gold 5218R	Xeon Gold 6242R	Xeon Silver 4214R	Xeon Gold 6242R
		Xeon Gold 6240R	Xeon Silver 4210R	Xeon Gold 6240R
		Xeon Gold 6238R	Xeon Bronze 3206R	Xeon Gold 6238R
		Xeon Gold 6230R		Xeon Gold 6230R
		Xeon Gold 6226R		Xeon Gold 6226R
		Xeon Gold 5220R		Xeon Gold 5220R
		Xeon Gold 5218R		Xeon Gold 5218R
				Xeon Silver 4215R
				Xeon Silver 4214R
				Xeon Silver 4210R
				Xeon Bronze 3206R

All the processors that can be ordered with the PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5, apart from Xeon Bronze 3204 and Xeon Bronze 3206R, support Intel Turbo Boost Technology 2.0. This technology allows you to operate the processor with higher frequencies than the nominal frequency. Listed in the processor table is "Max. Turbo Frequency" for the theoretical maximum frequency with only one active core per processor. The maximum frequency that can actually be achieved depends on the number of active cores, the current consumption, electrical power consumption, and the temperature of the processor. As a matter of principle, Intel does not guarantee that the maximum turbo frequency can be reached. This is related to manufacturing tolerances, which result in a variance regarding the performance of various examples of a processor model. The range of the variance covers the entire scope between the nominal frequency and the maximum turbo frequency.

The turbo functionality can be set via BIOS option. Fujitsu generally recommends leaving the "Turbo Mode" option set at the standard setting of "Enabled", as performance is substantially increased by the higher frequencies. However, since the higher frequencies depend on general conditions and are not always guaranteed, it can be advantageous to disable the "Turbo Mode" option for application scenarios with intensive use of AVX instructions and a high number of instructions per clock unit, as well as for those that require constant performance or lower electrical power consumption.

### ***Suffix of Processor number shows additional feature of Xeon Processor.***

The processors with M suffix support larger memory capacity of 2TB/socket(M-suffix) whereas normal processors support 1TB/socket memory capacity.

The processors with S suffix are specifically designed to offer consistent performance for search workloads.

The processors with V suffix are specifically designed to help maximize \$/VM

The processors with Y suffix support Intel Speed Select Technology. It enables to provide 3 distinct configurations( number of active cores and frequencies) which customer can choose in BIOS option.

Specifications of Xeon Gold 5218B and Xeon Gold 5218 including core count and frequencies are the same. The difference is minor electrical specifications only.

Suffix	Additional feature
M	Support up to 2TB/socket memory
S	Search Optimized
V	VM Density Optimized
Y	Speed Select



Memory modules								
Type	Capacity [GB]	Number of ranks	Bit width of the memory chips	Frequency [MHz]	Load Reduced	Registered	NVDIMM	ECC
8 GB (1x8 GB) 1Rx8 DDR4-2933 R ECC	8	1	8	2,933		✓		✓
16 GB (1x16 GB) 2Rx8 DDR4-2933 R ECC	16	2	8	2,933		✓		✓
16 GB (1x16 GB) 1Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC	16	1	4	2,933		✓		✓
32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC	32	2	4	2,933		✓		✓
64 GB (1x64 GB) 4Rx4 DDR4-2933 LR ECC	64	4	4	2,933	✓	✓		✓
128GB (1x128 GB) 4Rx4 DDR4-2933LR ECC	128	4	4	2,933	✓	✓		✓
128GB (1x128GB) DCPMM-2666	128			2,666			✓	✓
256GB (1x256GB) DCPMM-2666	256			2,666			✓	✓
512GB (1x512GB) DCPMM-2666	512			2,666			✓	✓

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

Detailed technical information is available in the data sheet PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5.

# SPEC CPU2017

## Benchmark description

SPEC CPU2017 is a benchmark which measures the system efficiency with integer and floating-point operations. It consists of an integer test suite (SPECrate 2017 Integer, SPECSpeed 2017 Integer) containing 10 applications and a floating-point test suite (SPECrate 2017 Floating Point, SPECSpeed 2017 Floating Point) containing 14 applications. Both test suites are extremely computing-intensive and concentrate on the CPU and the memory. Other components, such as Disk I/O and network, are not measured by this benchmark.

SPEC CPU2017 is not tied to a special operating system. The benchmark is available as source code and is compiled before the actual measurement. The used compiler version and their optimization settings also affect the measurement result.

SPEC CPU2017 contains two different performance measurement methods. The first method (SPECSpeed 2017 Integer or SPECSpeed 2017 Floating Point) determines the time which is required to process a single task. The second method (SPECrate 2017 Integer or SPECrate 2017 Floating Point) determines the throughput, i.e. the number of tasks that can be handled in parallel. Both methods are also divided into two measurement runs, "base" and "peak." They differ in the use of compiler optimization. When publishing the results, the base values are always used and the peak values are optional.

Benchmark	Number of single benchmarks	Arithmetics	Type	Compiler optimization	Measurement result
SPECSpeed2017_int_peak	10	integer	peak	aggressive	Speed
SPECSpeed2017_int_base	10	integer	base	conservative	
SPECrate2017_int_peak	10	integer	peak	aggressive	Throughput
SPECrate2017_int_base	10	integer	base	conservative	
SPECSpeed2017_fp_peak	10	floating point	peak	aggressive	Speed
SPECSpeed2017_fp_base	10	floating point	base	conservative	
SPECrate2017_fp_peak	13	floating point	peak	aggressive	Throughput
SPECrate2017_fp_base	13	floating point	base	conservative	

The measurement results are the geometric average from normalized ratio values which have been determined for individual benchmarks. The geometric average - in contrast to the arithmetic average - means that there is a weighting in favor of the lower individual results. "Normalized" means that the measurement is how fast is the test system compared to a reference system. For example, value "1" was defined for the SPECSpeed2017\_int\_base, SPECrate2017\_int\_base, SPECSpeed2017\_fp\_base, and SPECrate2017\_fp\_base results of the reference system. A SPECSpeed2017\_int\_base value of 2 means that the measuring system has handled this benchmark twice as fast as the reference system. A SPECrate2017\_fp\_base value of 4 means that the measuring system has handled this benchmark about 4/[# base copies] times faster than the reference system. "# base copies" specifies how many parallel instances of the benchmark have been executed.

Not every SPEC CPU2017 measurement is submitted by Fujitsu for publication at SPEC. This is why the SPEC web pages do not have every result. As Fujitsu archives the log files for all measurements, it is possible to prove the correct implementation of the measurements at any time.

## Benchmark environment

### System Under Test (SUT)

#### Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	12 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC

#### Software

• BIOS settings	<p>SPECspeed2017_int:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hyper-Threading = Disabled</li> <li>• Power Technology = Custom</li> <li>• Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled</li> <li>• Patrol Scrub = Disabled</li> <li>• Uncore Frequency Scaling = Disabled</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled</li> <li>• WR CRC feature Control = Disabled</li> </ul> <p>SPECspeed2017_fp:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hyper-Threading = Disabled</li> <li>• Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled</li> <li>• Power Technology = Custom</li> <li>• Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled</li> <li>• Patrol Scrub = Disabled</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled</li> <li>• WR CRC feature Control = Disabled</li> <li>• UPI Link L0p Enable = Disable</li> <li>• UPI Link L1 Enable = Disable</li> </ul> <p>SPECrate2017_int:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adjacent Cache Line Prefetch = Disabled</li> <li>• DCU Ip Prefetcher = Disabled</li> <li>• DCU Streamer Prefetcher = Disabled</li> <li>• Power Technology = Custom</li> <li>• Energy Performance = Balanced Performance</li> <li>• Uncore Frequency Scaling = Disabled</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled*1</li> <li>• Stale AtoS = Enable</li> <li>• LLC Prefetch = Enabled</li> <li>• Hyper-Threading = Disabled*2</li> </ul> <p>• SPECrate2017_fp</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Power Technology = Custom</li> <li>• Energy Performance = Balanced Performance</li> <li>• Uncore Frequency Scaling = Disabled</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled*1</li> <li>• LLC Prefetch = Enabled</li> <li>• Hyper-Threading = Disabled*2</li> </ul> <p>*1: Xeon Gold 5217, Xeon Gold 5215, Xeon Silver 4215, Xeon Silver 4210,</p>
-----------------	--

	Xeon Silver 4208, Xeon Bronze 3204, Xeon Bronze 3206R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Silver 4215R *2: Xeon Bronze 3204, Xeon Bronze 3206R
• Operating system	SPECSpeed2017: SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 4.12.14-25.28-default SPECrate2017: SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 4.12.14-25.28-default
• Operating system settings	Stack size set to unlimited using "ulimit -s unlimited"  SPECrate2017: Kernel Boot Parameter set with : nohz_full=1-X (X: logical core number -1) echo 10000000 > /proc/sys/kernel/sched_min_granularity_ns
• Compiler	SPECSpeed2017_int, SPECrate2017_int: CPU released in April 2019 C/C++: Version 19.0.1.144 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.1.144 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux CPU released in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux  SPECSpeed2017_fp C/C++: Version 19.0.2.187 of Intel C/C++ Compiler Build 20190117 for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.2.187 of Intel Fortran Compiler Build 20190117 for Linux  SPECrate2017_fp: CPU released in April 2019 C/C++: Version 19.0.0.117 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.0.117 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux CPU released in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux Fortran: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel Fortran Compiler for Linux

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

## Benchmark results

In terms of processors, the benchmark result depends primarily on the size of the processor cache, the support for Hyper-Threading, the number of processor cores, and the processor frequency. In the case of processors with Turbo mode, the number of cores, which are loaded by the benchmark, determines the maximum processor frequency that can be achieved. In the case of single-threaded benchmarks, which largely load one core only, the maximum processor frequency

that can be achieved is higher than with multi-threaded benchmarks.

The result with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor model	Number of cores	Number of processor	SPECrate2017_int_base			SPECrate2017_fp_base		
			CX2550M5	CX2560M5	CX2570M5	CX2550M5	CX2560M5	CX2570M5
April 2019 released								
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	2	335 est.	Unsupported	333 est.	272 est.	Unsupported	269 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	2	<b>335</b>	Unsupported	333 est.	<b>272</b>	Unsupported	269 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	2	299 est.	Unsupported	293 est.	253 est.	Unsupported	249 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	2	299 est.	Unsupported	293 est.	253 est.	Unsupported	249 est.
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	2	<b>313</b>	Unsupported	311 est.	259 est.	Unsupported	256 est.
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	2	<b>302</b>	Unsupported	300 est.	254 est.	Unsupported	251 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	2	278 est.	Unsupported	272 est.	241 est.	Unsupported	236 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	2	278 est.	Unsupported	272 est.	241 est.	Unsupported	236 est.
	20	2	244 est.	Unsupported	238 est.	225 est.	Unsupported	221 est.
	16	2	211 est.	Unsupported	207 est.	207 est.	Unsupported	204 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	2	278 est.	Unsupported	272 est.	241 est.	Unsupported	236 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	2	233 est.	229 est.	228 est.	201 est.	199 est.	198 est.
Xeon Gold 6254	18	2	<b>248</b>	Unsupported	247 est.	<b>221</b>	Unsupported	219 est.
Xeon Gold 6252	24	2	<b>262</b>	<b>258</b>	257 est.	<b>233</b>	<b>230</b>	229 est.
Xeon Gold 6248	20	2	<b>239</b>	235 est.	234 est.	<b>219</b>	216 est.	215 est.
Xeon Gold 6246	12	2	<b>176</b>	Unsupported	173 est.	<b>180</b>	Unsupported	177 est.
Xeon Gold 6244	8	2	<b>130</b>	Unsupported	128 est.	<b>146</b>	Unsupported	144 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	16	2	<b>211</b>	207 est.	206 est.	<b>195</b>	193 est.	192 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	2	220 est.	216 est.	215 est.	205 est.	202 est.	201 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	2	220 est.	Unsupported	215 est.	205 est.	Unsupported	201 est.
	14	2	181 est.	Unsupported	177 est.	184 est.	Unsupported	180 est.
	8	2	113 est.	Unsupported	111 est.	133 est.	Unsupported	131 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	18	2	<b>220</b>	216 est.	215 est.	<b>205</b>	202 est.	201 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	2	242 est.	238 est.	237 est.	220 est.	217 est.	216 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	18	2	<b>242</b>	238 est.	237 est.	<b>220</b>	217 est.	216 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	22	2	123 est.	121 est.	120 est.	136 est.	134 est.	133 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	20	2	<b>217</b>	213 est.	212 est.	<b>202</b>	200 est.	199 est.
Xeon Gold 6226	12	2	161 est.	159 est.	158 est.	168 est.	166 est.	165 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	2	195 est.	192 est.	191 est.	182 est.	179 est.	179 est.

Xeon Gold 5222	4	2	<b>62.1</b>	61.0 est.	60.8 est.	<b>76.3</b>	75.3 est.	74.9 est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	2	196 est.	195 est.	196 est.	188 est.	187 est.	188 est.
Xeon Gold 5220	18	2	<b>196</b>	<b>195</b>	196 est.	<b>188</b>	<b>187</b>	188 est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	2	178 est.	175 est.	174 est.	173 est.	171 est.	170 est.
Xeon Gold 5218	16	2	<b>178</b>	175 est.	174 est.	<b>173</b>	171 est.	170 est.
Xeon Gold 5217	8	2	<b>104</b>	102 est.	102 est.	<b>111</b>	109 est.	109 est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	2	118 est.	116 est.	115 est.	124 est.	122 est.	121 est.
Xeon Gold 5215	10	2	<b>118</b>	116 est.	115 est.	<b>124</b>	122 est.	121 est.
Xeon Silver 4216	16	2	Unsupported	<b>168</b>	166 est.	Unsupported	<b>162</b>	160 est.
Xeon Silver 4215	8	2	Unsupported	<b>94.4</b>	91.5 est.	Unsupported	<b>102</b>	101 est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	2	Unsupported	129 est.	126 est.	Unsupported	132 est.	130 est.
	10	2	Unsupported	106 est.	105 est.	Unsupported	117 est.	116 est.
	8	2	Unsupported	91.2 est.	90.8 est.	Unsupported	106 est.	105 est.
Xeon Silver 4214	12	2	Unsupported	<b>129</b>	126 est.	Unsupported	<b>132</b>	130 est.
Xeon Silver 4210	10	2	Unsupported	<b>107</b>	110 est.	Unsupported	<b>113</b>	111 est.
Xeon Silver 4208	8	2	Unsupported	<b>80.5</b>	78 est.	Unsupported	<b>88.3</b>	87.1 est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	2	Unsupported	<b>38.5</b>	37.2 est.	Unsupported	<b>51.4</b>	51.3 est.

## March 2020 released

Xeon Gold 6258R	28	2	<b>327</b>	Unsupported	<b>324</b>	<b>265</b>	Unsupported	<b>263</b>
Xeon Gold 6256	12	2	191 est.	Unsupported	190 est.	193 est.	Unsupported	192 est.
Xeon Gold 6250	8	2	135 est.	Unsupported	134 est.	150 est.	Unsupported	149 est.
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	2	300 est.	Unsupported	297 est.	252 est.	Unsupported	251 est.
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	2	234 est.	Unsupported	231 est.	222 est.	Unsupported	220 est.
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	2	270 est.	Unsupported	268 est.	239 est.	Unsupported	238 est.
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	2	269 est.	Unsupported	269 est.	232 est.	Unsupported	233 est.
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	2	<b>288</b>	Unsupported	289 est.	<b>242</b>	Unsupported	243 est.
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	2	<b>268</b>	<b>263</b>	268 est.	<b>229</b>	<b>227</b>	230 est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	2	202 est.	199 est.	203 est.	192 est.	190 est.	193 est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	2	252 est.	248 est.	253 est.	218 est.	216 est.	219 est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	2	212 est.	209 est.	213 est.	192 est.	190 est.	193 est.
Xeon Silver 4215R		2	Unsupported	96.3 est.	98.2 est.	Unsupported	104 est.	105 est.
Xeon Silver 4214R		2	Unsupported	128 est.	130 est.	Unsupported	137 est.	139 est.
Xeon Silver 4210R		2	Unsupported	104 est.	106 est.	Unsupported	115 est.	117 est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R		2	Unsupported	48.6 est.	49.5 est.	Unsupported	69 est.	70 est.



Processor model	Number of cores	Number of processor	SPECspeed2017_int_base	SPECspeed2017_fp_base
CX2550M5				

## April 2019 released

Xeon Platinum 8280	28	2	-	<b>15.0</b>
Xeon Gold 6244	28	2	<b>10.7</b>	-

The following two diagrams illustrate the throughput of the PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5 in comparison to its predecessor PRIMERGY CX2550 M4/ CX2560 M4/ CX2570 M4, in their respective most performant configuration.

Both models showed significant performance improvements over the previous generation.



# STREAM

## Benchmark description

STREAM is a synthetic benchmark that has been used for many years to determine memory throughput and was developed by John McCalpin during his professorship at the University of Delaware. Today STREAM is supported at the University of Virginia, where the source code can be downloaded in either Fortran or C. STREAM continues to play an important role in the HPC environment in particular. It is for example an integral part of the HPC Challenge benchmark suite.

The benchmark is designed in such a way that it can be used both on PCs and on server systems. The unit of measurement of the benchmark is GB/s, i.e. the number of gigabytes that can be read and written per second.

STREAM measures the memory throughput for sequential accesses. These can generally be performed more efficiently than accesses that are randomly distributed on the memory, because the processor caches are used for sequential access.

Before execution the source code is adapted to the environment to be measured. Therefore, the size of the data area must be at least 12 times larger than the total of all last-level processor caches so that these have as little influence as possible on the result. The OpenMP program library is used to enable selected parts of the program to be executed in parallel during the runtime of the benchmark. This provides optimal load distribution for the available processor cores.

In the STREAM benchmark, a data area consisting of 8-byte elements is continuously copied to four operation types. Arithmetic operations are also performed on operation types other than COPY.

Arithmetics type	Arithmetics	Bytes per step	Floating-point calculation per step
COPY	$a(i) = b(i)$	16	0
SCALE	$a(i) = q \times b(i)$	16	1
SUM	$a(i) = b(i) + c(i)$	24	1
TRIAD	$a(i) = b(i) + q \times c(i)$	24	2

The throughput is output in GB/s for each type of calculation. The differences between the various values are usually only minor on modern systems. In general, only the determined TRIAD value is used as a comparison.

The measured results primarily depend on the clock frequency of the memory modules. The processors influence the arithmetic calculations.

In this chapter, throughputs are indicated as a power of 10. (1 GB/s =  $10^9$  Byte/s)



## Benchmark environment

### System Under Test (SUT)

#### Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	12 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC

#### Software

• BIOS settings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• intel Virtualization = Disabled</li> <li>• Power Technology = Custom</li> <li>• Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled</li> <li>• HWPM Support = Disable</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled*1</li> <li>• Stale AtoS = Enabled</li> <li>• LLC Dead Line Alloc = Disabled</li> <li>• XPT Prefetch = Enable</li> </ul> <p>*1: Xeon Gold 5217, Xeon Gold 5215, Xeon Silver 4215, Xeon Silver 4210, Xeon Silver 4208, Xeon Bronze 3204, Xeon Bronze 3206R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Silver 4215R</p>
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15
• Operating system settings	<p>Kernel Boot Parameter set with : nohz_full=1-X (X: logical core number -1) echo never &gt; /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled run with avx512 or avx2*1</p> <p>*1: Xeon Gold 5220R, Xeon Gold 5218R, Xeon Silver 4215R, Xeon Silver 4214R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Bronze 3206R</p>
• Compiler	<p>CPU released in April 2019 C/C++: Version 2019.3.0.591499 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p> <p>CPU released in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p>
• Benchmark	STREAM Version 5.10

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

## Benchmark results

The results with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Memory frequency	Maximum memory bandwidth	Number of cores	Rated frequency	Number of processors	TRIAD		
	[MHz]	[GB/s]		[GHz]		[GB/s]		
						CX2550M5	CX2560M5	CX2570M5
April 2019 released								
Xeon Platinum 8280M	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	231 est.	Unsupported	232 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	<b>231</b>	Unsupported	<b>232</b>
Xeon Platinum 8276M	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	230 est.	Unsupported	229 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	<b>230</b>	Unsupported	229 est.
Xeon Platinum 8270	2,933	140.8	26	2.7	2	<b>229</b>	Unsupported	230 est.
Xeon Platinum 8268	2,933	140.8	24	2.9	2	<b>231</b>	Unsupported	231 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	231 est.	Unsupported	230 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	231 est.	Unsupported	230 est.
	2,933	140.8	20	2.4	2	234 est.	Unsupported	233 est.
	2,933	140.8	16	2.4	2	233 est.	Unsupported	232 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	<b>231</b>	Unsupported	230 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	2,933	140.8	24	1.9	2	191 est.	190 est.	190 est.
Xeon Gold 6254	2,933	140.8	18	3.1	2	<b>211</b>	Unsupported	212 est.
Xeon Gold 6252	2,933	140.8	24	2.1	2	<b>231</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>230</b>
Xeon Gold 6248	2,933	140.8	20	2.5	2	<b>219</b>	218 est.	218 est.
Xeon Gold 6246	2,933	140.8	12	3.3	2	<b>216</b>	Unsupported	215 est.
Xeon Gold 6244	2,933	140.8	8	3.6	2	<b>191</b>	Unsupported	190 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	2,933	140.8	16	2.8	2	<b>211</b>	210 est.	209 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	211 est.	210 est.	210 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	211 est.	Unsupported	210 est.
	2,933	140.8	14	2.6	2	218 est.	Unsupported	217 est.
	2,933	140.8	8	2.6	2	183 est.	Unsupported	182 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	2,933	140.8	18	2.6	2	<b>211</b>	210 est.	210 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	2,933	140.8	22	2.1	2	221 est.	220 est.	220 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	2,933	140.8	22	2.1	2	<b>221</b>	220 est.	220 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	2,933	140.8	8	3.3	2	153 est.	153 est.	153 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	2,933	140.8	20	2.1	2	<b>218</b>	217 est.	217 est.
Xeon Gold 6226	2,933	140.8	12	2.7	2	203 est.	202 est.	202 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	2,400	140.8	20	1.8	2	189 est.	188 est.	188 est.
Xeon Gold 5222	2,933	140.8	4	3.8	2	<b>101</b>	100 est.	100 est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	2,666	128.0	18	2.7	2	199 est.	198 est.	198 est.
Xeon Gold 5220	2,666	128.0	18	2.2	2	<b>199</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>199</b>
Xeon Gold 5218B	2,666	128.0	16	2.3	2	198 est.	197 est.	197 est.
Xeon Gold 5218	2,666	128.0	16	2.3	2	<b>198</b>	197 est.	197 est.

Xeon Gold 5217	2,666	128.0	8	3	2	<b>132</b>	131 est.	131 est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	2,666	128.0	10	2.5	2	148 est.	148 est.	148 est.
Xeon Gold 5215	2,666	128.0	10	2.5	2	<b>148</b>	148 est.	148 est.
Xeon Silver 4216	2,400	115.2	16	2.1	2	Unsupported	<b>185</b>	184 est.
Xeon Silver 4215	2,400	115.2	8	2.5	2	Unsupported	92.6 est.	92.5 est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	2,400	115.2	12	2.2	2	Unsupported	158 est.	165 est.
	2,400	115.2	10	2.2	2	Unsupported	166 est.	166 est.
	2,400	115.2	8	2.2	2	Unsupported	157 est.	157 est.
Xeon Silver 4214	2,400	115.2	12	2.2	2	Unsupported	<b>158</b>	165 est.
Xeon Silver 4210	2,400	115.2	10	2.2	2	Unsupported	<b>90.8</b>	93.5 est.
Xeon Silver 4208	2,400	115.2	8	2.1	2	Unsupported	90.5 est.	90.5 est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	2,133	102.4	6	1.9	2	Unsupported	<b>185</b>	73 est.
<b>March 2020 released</b>								
Xeon Gold 6258R	2,933	140.8	28	2.7	2	<b>231</b>	Unsupported	<b>231</b>
Xeon Gold 6256	2,933	140.8	12	3.6	2	221 est.	Unsupported	221 est.
Xeon Gold 6250	2,933	140.8	8	3.9	2	176 est.	Unsupported	177 est.
Xeon Gold 6248R	2,933	140.8	24	3.0	2	232 est.	Unsupported	232 est.
Xeon Gold 6246R	2,933	140.8	16	3.4	2	235 est.	Unsupported	235 est.
Xeon Gold 6242R	2,933	140.8	20	3.1	2	235 est.	Unsupported	235 est.
Xeon Gold 6240R	2,933	140.8	24	2.4	2	229 est.	Unsupported	232 est.
Xeon Gold 6238R	2,933	140.8	28	2.2	2	<b>228</b>	Unsupported	231 est.
Xeon Gold 6230R	2,933	140.8	26	2.1	2	<b>228</b>	<b>227</b>	230 est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	2,933	140.8	16	2.9	2	209 est.	209 est.	212 est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	2,666	128.0	24	2.2	2	210 est.	210 est.	213 est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	2,666	128.0	20	2.1	2	204 est.	204 est.	207 est.
Xeon Silver 4215R	2,400	115.2	8	3.2	2	Unsupported	112 est.	113 est.
Xeon Silver 4214R	2,400	115.2	12	2.4	2	Unsupported	157 est.	159 est.
Xeon Silver 4210R	2,400	115.2	10	2.4	2	Unsupported	89.3 est.	90.7 est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R	2,133	102.4	8	1.9	2	Unsupported	77.7 est.	78.9 est.

# LINPACK

## Benchmark description

LINPACK was developed in the 1970s by Jack Dongarra and some other people to show the performance of supercomputers. The benchmark consists of a collection of library functions for the analysis and solution of linear system of equations. The description can be found in the following document.

<http://www.netlib.org/utk/people/JackDongarra/PAPERS/hplpaper.pdf>

LINPACK can be used to measure the speed of computers when solving a linear equation system. For this purpose, an  $n \times n$  matrix is set up and filled with random numbers between -2 and +2. The calculation is then performed via LU decomposition with partial pivoting.

A memory of  $8n^2$  bytes is required for the matrix. In case of an  $n \times n$  matrix the number of arithmetic operations required for the solution is  $2/3n^3 + 2n^2$ . Thus, the choice of  $n$  determines the duration of the measurement. In other words, if  $n$  is doubled, the measurement time will be approximately eight times longer. The size of  $n$  also has an influence on the measurement result itself. As  $n$  increases, the measured value asymptotically approaches its limit. The size of the matrix is therefore usually adapted to the amount of memory available. Furthermore, the memory bandwidth of the system only plays a minor role for the measurement result, but a role that cannot be fully ignored. The processor performance is the decisive factor for the measurement result. Since the algorithm used permits parallel processing, in particular the number of processors used and their processor cores are - in addition to the clock rate - of outstanding significance.

LINPACK is used to measure how many floating point operations were carried out per second. The result is referred to as **Rmax** and specified in GFlops (Giga Floating Point Operations per Second: 1 billion floating point operations/second).

An upper limit, referred to as **Rpeak**, for the speed of a computer can be calculated from the maximum number of floating point operations that its processor cores could theoretically carry out in one clock cycle.

$$\text{Rpeak} = \begin{aligned} &\text{Maximum number of floating point operations per clock cycle} \\ &\times \text{Number of processor cores of the computer} \\ &\times \text{Rated processor frequency [GHz]} \end{aligned}$$

LINPACK is classed as one of the leading benchmarks in the field of high performance computing (HPC). LINPACK is one of the seven benchmarks currently included in the HPC Challenge benchmark suite, which takes other performance aspects in the HPC environment into account.

Manufacturer-independent publication of LINPACK results is possible at <http://www.top500.org/>. This requires using an HPL-based LINPACK version (see <http://www.netlib.org/benchmark/hpl/>).

Intel offers a highly optimized LINPACK version (shared memory version) for individual systems with Intel processors. Parallel processes communicate here via "shared memory," i.e. jointly used memory. Another version provided by Intel is based on HPL (High Performance Linpack). Intercommunication of the LINPACK processes here takes place via OpenMP and MPI (Message Passing Interface). This enables communication between the parallel processes - also from one computer to another. Both versions can be downloaded from <http://software.intel.com/en-us/articles/intel-math-kernel-library-linpack-download/>.

Manufacturer-specific LINPACK versions also come into play when graphics cards for General Purpose Computation on Graphics Processing Unit (GPGPU) are used. These are based on HPL and include extensions which are needed for communication with the graphics cards. Benchmark environment

## Benchmark environment

### System Under Test (SUT)

#### Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	12 × 32 GB (1x16 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC

#### Software

• BIOS settings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HyperThreading = Disabled</li> <li>• Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled</li> <li>• Power Technology = Custom</li> <li>• HWPM Support = Disabled</li> <li>• Link Frequency Select = 10.4 GT/s</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Disabled</li> <li>• Stale AtoS = Enabled</li> <li>• LLC Dead Line Alloc = Disabled</li> <li>• XPT Prefetch = Enabled</li> </ul>
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15
• Operating system settings	<p>Kernel Boot Parameter set with : nohz_full=1-X (X: logical core number -1) cpupower -c all frequency-set -g performance echo 50000 &gt; /proc/sys/kernel/sched_cfs_bandwidth_slice_us echo 240000000 &gt; /proc/sys/kernel/sched_latency_ns echo 5000000 &gt; /proc/sys/kernel/sched_migration_cost_ns echo 100000000 &gt; /proc/sys/kernel/sched_min_granularity_ns echo 150000000 &gt; /proc/sys/kernel/sched_wakeup_granularity_ns echo always &gt; /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled echo 1048576 &gt; /proc/sys/fs/aio-max-nr run with avx512 or avx2*1</p> <p>*1: Xeon Gold 5220R, Xeon Gold 5218R, Xeon Silver 4215R, Xeon Silver 4214R, Xeon Silver 4210R, Xeon Bronze 3206R</p>
• Compiler	<p>CPU released in April 2019 C/C++: Version 2019.3.0.591499 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux CPU released in March 2020 C/C++: Version 19.0.4.227 of Intel C/C++ Compiler for Linux</p>
• Benchmark	Intel Optimized MP LINPACK Benchmark for Clusters

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

## Benchmark results

The results with "est." are the estimated values.

Processor	Numb er of cores	Rated freque ncy	Numb er of proces sors	Rpeak	CX2550M5		CX2560M5		CX2570M5	
		[GHz]		[GFlops]	Rmax [GFlops]	Effici ency	Rmax [GFlops]	Effici ency	Rmax [GFlops]	Efficie ncy
April 2019 released										
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	2.7	2	4,838	3,461 est.	72%	Unsupported		3,454 est.	71%
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	2.7	2	4,838	<b>3,461</b>	72%	Unsupported		<b>3,454</b>	71%
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	2.2	2	3,942	2,852 est.	72%	Unsupported		2,702 est.	69%
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	2.2	2	3,942	<b>2,852</b>	72%	Unsupported		2,702 est.	69%
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	2.7	2	4,493	<b>3,153</b>	70%	Unsupported		3,147 est.	70%
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	2.9	2	4,454	<b>3,189</b>	72%	Unsupported		3,183 est.	71%
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,755 est.	75%	Unsupported		2,610 est.	71%
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,755 est.	75%	Unsupported		2,610 est.	71%
	20	2.4	2	3,072	2,502 est.	81%	Unsupported		2,370 est.	77%
	16	2.4	2	2,458	2,214 est.	90%	Unsupported		2,098 est.	85%
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	2.4	2	3,686	<b>2,755</b>	75%	Unsupported		2,610 est.	71%
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	1.9	2	2,918	2,124 est.	73%	2,015 est.	69%	2,012 est.	69%
Xeon Gold 6254	18	3.1	2	3,571	<b>2,705</b>	76%	Unsupported		2,700 est.	76%
Xeon Gold 6252	24	2.1	2	3,226	<b>2,502</b>	78%	<b>2,374</b>	74%	<b>2,370</b>	73%
Xeon Gold 6248	20	2.5	2	3,200	<b>2,397</b>	75%	2,275 est.	74%	2,271 est.	71%
Xeon Gold 6246	12	3.3	2	2,534	<b>1,866</b>	74%	Unsupported		1,768 est.	70%
Xeon Gold 6244	8	3.6	2	1,843	<b>1,457</b>	79%	Unsupported		1,381 est.	75%
Xeon Gold 6242	16	2.8	2	2,867	<b>2,139</b>	75%	2,030 est.	71%	2,027 est.	71%
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	2.6	2	2,995	2,232 est.	75%	2,118 est.	71%	2,115 est.	71%
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	2.6	2	2,995	2,232 est.	75%	Unsupported		2,115 est.	71%
	14	2.6	2	2,330	1,952 est.	84%	Unsupported		<b>1,849</b>	79%
	8	2.6	2	1,331	1,444 est.	108%	Unsupported		<b>1,368</b>	103%
Xeon Gold 6240	18	2.6	2	2,995	<b>2,232</b>	75%	2,118 est.	71%	2,115 est.	71%
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	2.1	2	2,957	2,345 est.	79%	2,225 est.	75%	2,221 est.	75%
Xeon Gold 6238	22	2.1	2	2,957	<b>2,345</b>	79%	2,225 est.	75%	2,221 est.	75%
Xeon Gold 6234	8	3.3	2	1,690	1366 est.	81%	1296 est.	77%	1,294 est.	77%
Xeon Gold 6230	20	2.1	2	2,688	<b>1,966</b>	73%	1,865 est.	69%	1,863 est.	69%
Xeon Gold 6226	12	2.8	2	2,074	1,785 est.	86%	1,694 est.	82%	1,691 est.	82%
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	1.8	2	2,304	1,943 est.	84%	1,843 est.	80%	1,840 est.	80%
Xeon Gold 5222	4	3.8	2	973	<b>771</b>	79%	732 est.	75%	730 est.	75%
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	2.7	2	1,555	1,298 est.	83%	1,231 est.	79%	1,230 est.	79%
Xeon Gold 5220	18	2.2	2	1,267	<b>1,293</b>	102%	<b>1,242</b>	98%	<b>1,179</b>	93%
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	2.3	2	1,178	1,113 est.	95%	1,056 est.	90%	1,054 est.	90%
Xeon Gold 5218	16	2.3	2	1,178	<b>1,113</b>	95%	1,056 est.	90%	1,054 est.	90%

Xeon Gold 5217	8	3	2	768	<b>711</b>	93%	675 est.	88%	674 est.	88%
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	2.5	2	800	734 est.	92%	696 est.	87%	695 est.	87%
Xeon Gold 5215	10	2.5	2	800	<b>734</b>	92%	696 est.	87%	695 est.	87%
Xeon Silver 4216	16	2.1	2	1,075	Unsupported		<b>953</b>	89%	945 est.	88%
Xeon Silver 4215	8	2.5	2	640	Unsupported		617 est.	96%	555 est.	87%
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	2.2	2	845	Unsupported		763 est.	90%	713 est.	84%
	10	2.2	2	704	Unsupported		643 est.	91%	642 est.	91%
	8	2.2	2	563	Unsupported		580 est.	103%	579 est.	103%
Xeon Silver 4214	12	2.2	2	845	Unsupported		<b>763</b>	90%	713 est.	84%
Xeon Silver 4210	10	2.2	2	704	Unsupported		<b>691</b>	98%	618 est.	88%
Xeon Silver 4208	8	2.1	2	538	Unsupported		484 est.	90%	459 est.	85%
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	1.9	2	365	Unsupported		<b>273</b>	75%	<b>243</b> est.	67%

**March 2020 released**

Xeon Gold 6258R	28	2.7	2	4,838	<b>3,401</b>	70%	Unsupported		<b>3,332</b>	69%
Xeon Gold 6256	12	3.6	2	2,765	2,180 est.	79%	Unsupported		<b>2,136</b>	77%
Xeon Gold 6250	8	3.9	2	1,997	1,591 est.	80%	Unsupported		<b>1,559</b>	78%
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	3.0	2	4,608	3,187 est.	69%	Unsupported		<b>3,123</b>	68%
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	3.4	2	3,482	2,589 est.	74%	Unsupported		<b>2,537</b>	73%
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	3.1	2	3,968	2,934 est.	74%	Unsupported		<b>2,875</b>	72%
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	2.4	2	3,686	2,584 est.	70%	Unsupported		<b>2,573</b>	70%
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	2.2	2	3,942	<b>2,707</b>	69%	Unsupported		<b>2,,695</b>	68%
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	2.1	2	3,494	<b>2,484</b>	71%	2,356 est.	67%	<b>2,465</b>	71%
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	2.9	2	2,970	2,129 est.	72%	2,026 est.	68%	<b>2,119</b>	71%
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	2.2	2	1,690	1500 est.	89%	<b>1428</b> est.	84%	<b>1,493</b>	88%
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	2.1	2	1,344	1215 est.	90%	1157 est.	86%	<b>1,210</b>	90%
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	3.2	2	819	Unsupported		<b>593</b> est.	72%	<b>621</b>	76%
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	2.4	2	922	Unsupported		838 est.	91%	<b>877</b>	95%
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	2.4	2	768	Unsupported		715 est.	93%	<b>748</b>	97%
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	1.9	2	486	Unsupported		<b>420</b> est.	86%	<b>439</b>	90%

Rpeak values in the table above were calculated by the base frequency of each processor. Since we enabled Turbo mode in measurements of Rmax, the average Turbo frequency exceeded the base frequency for some processors. That is the reason why Efficiency of some processors exceeds 100%.

As explained in the section "Technical Data", Intel generally does not guarantee that the maximum turbo frequency can be reached in the processor models due to manufacturing tolerances. A further restriction applies for workloads, such as those generated by LINPACK, with intensive use of AVX instructions and a high number of instructions per clock unit. Here the frequency of a core can also be limited if the upper limits of the processor for power consumption and temperature are reached before the upper limit for the current consumption. This can result in the achievement of a lower performance with turbo mode than without turbo mode. In such cases, you should disable the turbo functionality via BIOS option..





# SPECpower\_ssj2008

## Benchmark description

SPECpower\_ssj2008 is the first industry-standard SPEC benchmark that evaluates the power and performance characteristics of a server. With SPECpower\_ssj2008 SPEC has defined standards for server power measurements in the same way they have done for performance.

The benchmark workload represents typical server-side Java business applications. The workload is scalable, multi-threaded, portable across a wide range of platforms, and easy to run. The benchmark tests CPUs, caches, the memory hierarchy, and scalability of symmetric multiprocessor systems (SMPs), as well as the implementation of Java Virtual Machine (JVM), Just In Time (JIT) compilers, garbage collection, threads, and some aspects of the operating system.

SPECpower\_ssj2008 reports power consumption for servers at different performance levels — from 100% to “active idle” in 10% segments — over a set period of time. The graduated workload recognizes the fact that processing loads and power consumption on servers vary substantially over the course of days or weeks. To compute a power-performance metric across all levels, measured transaction throughputs for each segment are added together and then divided by the sum of the average power consumed for each segment. The result is a figure of merit called “overall ssj\_ops/watt”. This ratio provides information about the energy efficiency of the measured server. The defined measurement standard enables customers to compare it with other configurations and servers measured with SPECpower\_ssj2008. The diagram shows a typical graph of a SPECpower\_ssj2008 result.



The benchmark runs on a wide variety of operating systems and hardware architectures and does not require extensive client or storage infrastructure. The minimum equipment for SPEC-compliant testing is two networked computers, plus a power analyzer and a temperature sensor. One computer is the System Under Test (SUT) which runs one of the supported operating systems and the JVM. The JVM provides the environment required to run the SPECpower\_ssj2008 workload which is implemented in Java. The other computer is a “Control & Collection System” (CCS) which controls the operation of the benchmark and captures the power, performance, and temperature readings for reporting. The diagram provides an overview of the basic structure of the benchmark configuration and the various components.

## Benchmark environment

### System Under Test (SUT)

#### Hardware

• Enclosure	PRIMERGY CX400 M4
• Enclosure version	PRIMERGY CX400 M4 chassis for CX2560 M5 2U Chassis
• Power Supply Unit	1 × Fujitsu Technology Solutions S26113-F615-E10 2400W

#### Hardware

• Number of servers	4
• Model	PRIMERGY CX2560 M5
• Processor	Intel Xeon Gold 6252
• Memory	12 ×16 GB (1x16 GB) 2Rx8 PC4-2933Y-R
• Network interface	1 x Intel I250 Gigabit Network Connection (onboard)
• Disk subsystem	1 x SSD M.2 SATA 6Gbps 128GB N H-P, S26361-F5658-L128

#### Software


• BIOS	R1.6.0
• BIOS settings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HWPM = Native Mode.</li> <li>• ASPM Support = L1 Only.</li> <li>• SATA Controller = Disable.</li> <li>• USB Port Control = Disable all ports.</li> <li>• Network Stack = Disabled.</li> <li>• Hardware Prefetcher = Disabled.</li> <li>• Adjacent Cache Line Prefetcher = Disabled.</li> <li>• Intel Virtualization Technology = Disabled.</li> <li>• Power Technology = Custom.</li> <li>• Turbo Mode = Disabled.</li> <li>• Energy Performance = Energy Efficient.</li> <li>• Override OS Energy Performance = Enabled.</li> <li>• P-State Coordination = SW_ANY.</li> <li>• Package C State Limit = C6.</li> <li>• UPI Link Frequency Select = 9.6GT/s.</li> <li>• Uncore Frequency Scaling = Disabled.</li> <li>• Sub NUMA Clustering = Enabled.</li> <li>• DDR Performance = Energy optimized.</li> </ul>
• Firmware	2.41P
• Operating system	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 12 SP4 4.12.14-94.41-default

• Operating system settings	<pre> kernel parameter:pcie_aspm=force pcie_aspm.policy=powersave intel_pstate=disable rcu_nocbs=1-95 nohz=off isolcpus=1-95 modprobe cpufreq_conservative cpupower frequency-set --governor conservative echo -n 98 &gt; /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/up_threshold echo -n 1 &gt; /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/freq_step echo -n 1000000 &gt; /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/sampling_rate echo -n 0 &gt; /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/ignore_nice_load sysctl -w kernel.sched_migration_cost_ns=6000 echo -n 97 &gt; /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/down_threshold echo -n 1 &gt; /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpufreq/conservative/sampling_down_factor sysctl -w kernel.sched_min_granularity_ns=10000000 echo always &gt; /sys/kernel/mm/transparent_hugepage/enabled powertop --auto-tune echo 0 &gt; /proc/sys/kernel/nmi_watchdog sysctl -w vm.swappiness=50 sysctl -w vm.laptop_mode=5 &lt;Yes&gt;: The test sponsor attests, as of date of publication, that CVE-2017-5754 (Meltdown) is mitigated in the system as tested and documented. &lt;Yes&gt;: The test sponsor attests, as of date of publication, that CVE-2017-5753 (Spectre variant 1) is mitigated in the system as tested and documented. &lt;Yes&gt;: The test sponsor attests, as of date of publication, that CVE-2017-5715 (Spectre variant 2) is mitigated in the system as tested and documented. </pre>
• JVM	Oracle Java HotSpot 64-Bit Server VM (build 24.80-b11, mixed mode), version 1.7.0_80
• JVM settings	<pre> server -Xmn1700m -Xms1950m -Xmx1950m -XX:SurvivorRatio=1 -XX:TargetSurvivorRatio=99 -XX:AllocatePrefetchDistance=256 - XX:AllocatePrefetchLines=4 -XX:LoopUnrollLimit=45 -XX:InitialTenuringThreshold=12 - XX:MaxTenuringThreshold=15 -XX:ParallelGCThreads=8 -XX:InlineSmallCode=3900 -XX:MaxInlineSize=270 -XX:FreqInlineSize=2500 -XX:+AggressiveOpts -XX:+UseLargePages -XX:+UseParallelOldGC -XX:+UseHugeTLBFS -XX:+UseTransparentHugePages </pre>

## Benchmark results

The PRIMERGY CX2560 M5 achieved the following result:

**SPECpower\_ssj2008 = 10,619 overall ssj\_ops/watt**



The adjoining diagram shows the result of the configuration described above. The red horizontal bars show the performance to power ratio in ssj\_ops/watt (upper x-axis) for each target load level tagged on the y-axis of the diagram. The blue line shows the run of the curve for the average power consumption (bottom x-axis) at each target load level marked with a small rhomb. The black vertical line shows the benchmark result of 10,619 overall ssj\_ops/watt for the PRIMERGY CX2560 M5. This is the quotient of the sum of the transaction throughputs for each load level and the sum of the average power consumed for each measurement interval

The following table shows the benchmark results for the throughput in ssj\_ops, the power consumption in watts and the resulting energy efficiency for each load level.

Performance		Power	Energy Efficiency
Target Load	ssj_ops	Average Power (W)	ssj_ops/watt
100%	17,566,126	1,412	12,441
90%	15,861,908	1,214	13,061
80%	14,094,424	1,078	13,076
70%	12,493,145	969	12,894
60%	10,566,162	867	12,188
50%	8,798,423	787	11,183
40%	7,042,265	718	9,814
30%	5,279,188	652	8,092
20%	3,523,498	587	6,005
10%	1,758,976	520	3,380
Active Idle	0	329	0
<b><math>\Sigma \text{ssj\_ops} / \Sigma \text{power} = 10,619</math></b>			

## OLTP-2

### **Benchmark description**

OLTP stands for Online Transaction Processing. The OLTP-2 benchmark is based on the typical application scenario of a database solution. In OLTP-2 database access is simulated and the number of transactions achieved per second (tps) determined as the unit of measurement for the system.


In contrast to benchmarks such as SPECint and TPC-E, which were standardized by independent bodies and for which adherence to the respective rules and regulations are monitored, OLTP-2 is an internal benchmark of Fujitsu. OLTP-2 is based on the well-known database benchmark TPC-E. OLTP-2 was designed in such a way that a wide range of configurations can be measured to present the scaling of a system with regard to the CPU and memory configuration.

Even if the two benchmarks OLTP-2 and TPC-E simulate similar application scenarios using the same load profiles, the results cannot be compared or even treated as equal, as the two benchmarks use different methods to simulate user load. OLTP-2 values are typically similar to TPC-E values. A direct comparison, or even referring to the OLTP-2 result as TPC-E, is not permitted, especially because there is no price-performance calculation.

Further information can be found in the document [Benchmark Overview OLTP-2](#).

### **Benchmark environment**

The typical measurement set-up is illustrated below:



All OLTP-2 results were Calculated based on the configuration of the next following pages of PRIMERGY RX2540 M5.

## Database Server (Tier B)

### Hardware

• Model	PRIMERGY RX2540 M5
• Processor	2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	1 processor 6 × 64 GB (1x64 GB) 4Rx4 DDR4-2933 LR ECC 2 processors:12 × 64 GB (1x64 GB) 4Rx4 DDR4-2933 LR ECC
• Network interface	1 × Dual onboard LAN 10 Gb/s
• Disk subsystem	PRIMERGY RX2540 M5: Onboard RAID controller PRAID EP420i 2 × 300 GB 10k rpm SAS Drive, RAID 1 (OS), 6 × 1.6 TB SSD, RAID 10 (LOG) 4 × 1.6 TB SSD, RAID 10 (temp) 5 × PRAID EP540e 5 × JX40 S2 : 9 × 1.6 TB SSD Drive each, RAID5 (data)

### Software

• BIOS	Version R1.2.0
• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard + KB4462928
• Database	Microsoft SQL Server 2017 Enterprise + KB4341265

## Application Server (Tier A)

### Hardware

• Model	1 × PRIMERGY RX2530 M4
• Processor	2 × Xeon Platinum 8180
• Memory	192 GB, 2666 MHz Registered ECC DDR4
• Network interface	1 × Dual Port onboard LAN 10 Gb/s 1 × Dual Port LAN 1 Gb/s
• Disk subsystem	2 × 300 GB 10k rpm SAS Drive

### Software

• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2016 Standard
--------------------	--

Client	
Hardware	
• Model	1 × PRIMERGY RX2530 M2
• Processor	2 × Xeon E5-2667 v4
• Memory	128 GB, 2400 MHz registered ECC DDR4
• Network interface	1 × onboard Quad Port LAN 1 Gb/s
• Disk subsystem	1 × 300 GB 10k rpm SAS Drive
Software	
• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2012 R2 Standard
• Benchmark	OLTP-2 Software EGen version 1.14.0

Some components may not be available in all countries / sales regions.

## Benchmark results

Database performance greatly depends on the configuration options with CPU, memory and on the connectivity of an adequate disk subsystem for the database. In the following scaling considerations for the processors we assume that both the memory and the disk subsystem has been adequately chosen and is not a bottleneck.

A guideline in the database environment for selecting main memory is that sufficient quantity is more important than the speed of the memory accesses. This why a configuration with a total memory of 768 GB was considered for the measurements with two processors and a configuration with a total memory of 384 GB for the measurements with one processor. Both memory configurations have memory access of 2933 MHz..

The result with "est." are the estimated values.


The following chart compares the two-tier SAP SD Standard Application Benchmarks for PRIMERGY RX2540 M7 and its predecessor RX2540 M6, shown are the number of SD benchmark users.

Processor	Cores	Threads	CX2560 M5	
			2CPU Score	1CPU Score
April 2019 released				
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	48	4,409 est.	2,422 est.
Xeon Gold 6252	24	48	4,762 est.	2,617 est.
Xeon Gold 6248	20	40	4,367 est.	2,399 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	16	32	3,806 est.	2,091 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	36	3,993 est.	2,194 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	18	36	3,993 est.	2,194 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	44	4,159 est.	2,285 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	22	44	4,159 est.	2,285 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	8	16	2,308 est.	1,268 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	20	40	3,930 est.	2,159 est.
Xeon Gold 6226	12	24	2,932 est.	1,611 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	40	3,556 est.	1,954 est.
Xeon Gold 5222	4	8	1,212 est.	666 est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	36	3,702 est.	2,034 est.
Xeon Gold 5220	18	36	3,702 est.	2,034 est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	32	3,369 est.	1,851 est.
Xeon Gold 5218	16	32	3,369 est.	1,851 est.
Xeon Gold 5217	8	16	1,992 est.	1,095 est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	20	2,204 est.	1,211 est.
Xeon Gold 5215	10	20	2,204 est.	1,211 est.
Xeon Silver 4216	16	32	3,057 est.	1,680 est.
Xeon Silver 4215	8	16	1,790 est.	984 est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	24	2,391 est.	1,314 est.
	10	20	1,993 est.	1,095 est.
	8	16	1,721 est.	946 est.
Xeon Silver 4214	12	24	2,391 est.	1,314 est.
Xeon Silver 4210	10	20	2,038 est.	1,120 est.
Xeon Silver 4208	8	16	1,537 est.	844 est.



Xeon Bronze 3204	6	6	744 est.	409 est.
<b>March 2020 released</b>				
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	52	4,895 est.	2,690 est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	32	3,670 est.	2,017 est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	48	4,575 est.	2,514 est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	40	3,856 est.	2,119 est.
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	16	1,874 est.	1,030 est.
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	24	2,406 est.	1,322 est.
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	20	2,041 est.	1,122 est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	16	965 est.	530 est.

The following diagram shows the OLTP-2 transaction rates that can be achieved with processors of the 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family.



It is evident that a wide performance range is covered by the variety of released processors. If you compare the OLTP-2 value of the processor with the lowest performance (Xeon Bronze 3204) with the value of the processor with the highest performance (Xeon Gold 6252), the result is an 7-fold increase in performance.

The features of the processors are summarized in the section "Technical data".

The relatively large performance differences between the processors can be explained by their features. The values scale on the basis of the number of cores, the size of the L3 cache and the CPU clock frequency and as a result of the features of Hyper-Threading and turbo mode, which are available in most processor types. Furthermore, the data transfer rate between processors ("UPI Speed") also determines the performance.

Within a group of processors with the same number of cores, scaling can be seen via the CPU clock frequency.

## vServCon


### Benchmark description

vServCon is a benchmark used by Fujitsu to compare server configurations with hypervisor with regard to their suitability for server consolidation. This allows both the comparison of systems, processors and I/O technologies as well as the comparison of hypervisors, virtualization forms, and additional drivers for virtual machines.

vServCon is not a new benchmark in the true sense of the word. It is more a framework that combines already established benchmarks (or in modified form) as workloads in order to reproduce the load of a consolidated and virtualized server environment. Three proven benchmarks are used which cover the application scenarios database, application server, and web server.

Application scenario	Benchmark	No. of logical CPU cores	Memory
Database	Sysbench (adapted)	2	1.5 GB
Java application server	SPECjbb (adapted, with 50% - 60% load)	2	2 GB
Web server	WebBench	1	1.5 GB

Each of the three application scenarios is allocated to a dedicated virtual machine (VM). A fourth machine, the so-called idle VM, is added to these. These four VMs make up a "tile". Depending on the performance capability of the underlying server hardware, you may as part of a measurement also have to start several identical tiles in parallel in order to achieve a maximum performance score.



Each of the three vServCon application scenarios provides a specific benchmark result in the form of application-specific transaction rates for the respective VM. In order to derive a normalized score, the individual benchmark result for one tile is put in relation to the respective result of a reference system. The resulting relative performance value is then suitably weighted and finally added up for all VMs and tiles. The outcome is a score for this tile number.


As a general rule, start with one tile, and this procedure is performed for an increasing number of tiles until no further significant increase in this vServCon score occurs. The final vServCon score is then the maximum of the vServCon scores for all tile numbers. This score thus reflects the maximum total throughput that can be achieved by running the mix defined in vServCon that consists of numerous VMs up to the possible full utilization of CPU resources. This is why the measurement environment for vServCon measurements is designed in such a way that only the CPU is the limiting factor and that no limitations occur as a result of other resources.

The progression of the vServCon scores for the tile numbers provides useful information about the scaling behavior of the "System Under Test".

A detailed description of vServCon is in the document: [Benchmark Overview vServCon](#).

## Benchmark environment

The typical measurement set-up is illustrated below:



All vServCon results were Calculated based on the configuration of the next following pages of PRIMERGY RX2540 M5.

## System Under Test (SUT)

### Hardware

• Processor	2 × 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family
• Memory	12 × 32 GB (1x32 GB) 2Rx4 DDR4-2933 R ECC
• Network interface	1 × Intel Ethernet Controller X710 for 10GbE SFP+
• Disk subsystem	1 × dual-channel FC controller Emulex LPe160021 LINUX/LIO based flash storage system

### Software

• Operating system	VMware ESXi 6.7 EP06 Build 11675023
--------------------	-------------------------------------

## Load generator (incl. Framework controller)

### Hardware (Shared)

• Enclosure	4 × PRIMERGY RX2530 M2
-------------	------------------------

### Hardware

• Processor	2 × XeonE5-2683 v4
• Memory	128 GB
• Network interface	3 × 1 Gbit LAN

### Software

• Operating system	VMware ESXi 6.0.0 U2 Build 3620759
--------------------	------------------------------------

## Load generator VM (on various servers)

### Hardware

• Processor	1 × logical CPU
• Memory	4048 MB
• Network interface	2 × 1 Gbit/s LAN

### Software

• Operating system	Microsoft Windows Server 2008 Standard Edition 32 bit
--------------------	---

Some components may not be available in all countries or sales regions.

## Benchmark results

The PRIMERGY rack systems dealt with here are based on processors of the 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Scalable Processors Family. The features of the processors are summarized in the section "Technical data".

The available processors of these systems with their results can be seen in the following table.

PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5 are equivalent in performance. (It includes scores on processor configurations that are not supported by some hardware).

.

The result with "est." are the estimated values.


Processor	Cores	Threads	Number of Processors	#Tiles	Score
<b>April 2019 released</b>					
Xeon Platinum 8280M	28	56	2	35 est.	60.5 est.
Xeon Platinum 8280	28	56	2	35 est.	60.5 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276M	28	56	2	34 est.	52.1 est.
Xeon Platinum 8276	28	56	2	34 est.	52.1 est.
Xeon Platinum 8270	26	52	2	33 est.	56.1 est.
Xeon Platinum 8268	24	48	2	33 est.	54.5 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260M	24	48	2	31 est.	48.9 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260Y	24	48	2	31 est.	48.9 est.
	20	40	2	28 est.	42.5 est.
	16	32	2	26 est.	36.9 est.
Xeon Platinum 8260	24	48	2	31 est.	48.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6262V	24	48	2	28 est.	43.1 est.
Xeon Gold 6254	18	36	2	26 est.	43.1 est.
Xeon Gold 6252	24	48	2	30 est.	48.6 est.
Xeon Gold 6248	20	40	2	29 est.	43.4 est.
Xeon Gold 6246	12	24	2	20 est.	31.7 est.
Xeon Gold 6244	8	16	2	15 est.	23.9 est.
Xeon Gold 6242	16	32	2	23 est.	37.6 est.
Xeon Gold 6240M	18	36	2	25 est.	39.2 est.
Xeon Gold 6240Y	18	36	2	25 est.	39.2 est.
	14	28	2	23 est.	28.9 est.
	8	16	2	13 est.	19.5 est.
Xeon Gold 6240	18	36	2	25 est.	39.2 est.
Xeon Gold 6238M	22	44	2	29 est.	44.1 est.
Xeon Gold 6238	22	44	2	29 est.	44.1 est.
Xeon Gold 6234	8	16	2	15 est.	22.5 est.
Xeon Gold 6230	20	40	2	26 est.	38.5 est.
Xeon Gold 6226	12	24	2	20 est.	28.3 est.
Xeon Gold 6222V	20	40	2	25 est.	34.8 est.
Xeon Gold 5222	4	8	2	7 est.	11.2 est.
Xeon Gold 5220S	18	36	2	26 est.	36.3 est.


Xeon Gold 5220	18	36	2	26	est.	36.3	est.
Xeon Gold 5218B	16	32	2	23	est.	32.9	est.
Xeon Gold 5218	16	32	2	23	est.	32.9	est.
Xeon Gold 5217	8	16	2	14	est.	19.5	est.
Xeon Gold 5215M	10	20	2	18	est.	20.5	est.
Xeon Gold 5215	10	20	2	18	est.	20.5	est.
Xeon Silver 4216	16	32	2	25	est.	29.9	est.
Xeon Silver 4215	8	16	2	14	est.	17.5	est.
Xeon Silver 4214Y	12	24	2	19	est.	23.8	est.
	10	20	2	16	est.	20.5	est.
	8	16	2	12	est.	17.9	est.
Xeon Silver 4214	12	24	2	19	est.	23.8	est.
Xeon Silver 4210	10	20	2	18	est.	20	est.
Xeon Silver 4208	8	16	2	13	est.	15.1	est.
Xeon Bronze 3204	6	6	2	7	est.	7.2	est.
<b>March 2020 released</b>							
Xeon Gold 6258R	28	56	2	34	est.	58.4	est.
Xeon Gold 6256	12	24	2	24	est.	33.8	est.
Xeon Gold 6250	8	16	2	16	est.	25.2	est.
Xeon Gold 6248R	24	48	2	32	est.	53.5	est.
Xeon Gold 6246R	16	32	2	25	est.	41.1	est.
Xeon Gold 6242R	20	40	2	31	est.	46.9	est.
Xeon Gold 6240R	24	48	2	30	est.	47	est.
Xeon Gold 6238R	28	56	2	33	est.	50.8	est.
Xeon Gold 6230R	26	52	2	28	est.	47.9	est.
Xeon Gold 6226R	16	32	2	22	est.	35.9	est.
Xeon Gold 5220R	24	48	2	28	est.	44.8	est.
Xeon Gold 5218R	20	40	2	25	est.	37.7	est.
Xeon Silver 4215R	8	16	2	15	est.	18.3	est.
Xeon Silver 4214R	12	24	2	19	est.	23.6	est.
Xeon Silver 4210R	10	20	2	18	est.	20	est.
Xeon Bronze 3206R	8	8	2	9	est.	9.5	est.

These PRIMERGY rack systems are very suitable for application virtualization owing to the progress made in processor technology. Compared with a system based on the previous processor generation, approximately 3.6% higher virtualization performance can be achieved (measured in vServCon score in their maximum configuration).



The following diagram compares the virtualization performance values that can be achieved with the processors reviewed here.





## Literature

### PRIMERGY Servers

<https://www.fujitsu.com/global/products/computing/servers/primergy/>

### PRIMERGY CX2550 M5/ CX2560 M5/ CX2570 M5

This Whitepaper

 <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=a3dbe388-9e8d-4484-b3db-d93b8f556def>

 <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=81f11ac2-6c84-4dd8-878a-b15a5af07334>

Data sheet

CX2550 M5: <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=afbe5bd0-2e1e-4cfa-8fdc-d4f7b3e28a33>

CX2560 M5: <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=a1e3ad25-9f87-4fa9-b5e0-c0f5f1bfea7c>

CX2570 M5: <https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=21a12841-7a50-4226-998d-4cf55679421e>

### PRIMERGY Performance

<https://www.fujitsu.com/global/products/computing/servers/primergy/benchmarks/>

### SPEC CPU2017

<https://www.spec.org/osg/cpu2017>

Benchmark Overview SPECcpu2017

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=20f1f4e2-5b3c-454a-947f-c169fca51eb1>

### STREAM

<https://www.cs.virginia.edu/stream/>

### LINPACK

The LINPACK Benchmark: Past, Present, and Future

<https://www.netlib.org/utk/people/JackDongarra/PAPERS/hplpaper.pdf>

TOP500

<https://www.top500.org/>

HPL - A Portable Implementation of the High-Performance Linpack Benchmark for Distributed-Memory Computers

<https://www.netlib.org/benchmark/hpl/>

Intel Math Kernel Library – LINPACK Download

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/developer/articles/technical/onemkl-benchmarks-suite.html>

### SPECpower\_ssj2008

[https://www.spec.org/power\\_ssj2008](https://www.spec.org/power_ssj2008)

Benchmark Overview SPECpower\_ssj2008

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=166f8497-4bf0-4190-91a1-884b90850ee0>

### OLTP-2

Benchmark Overview OLTP-2

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=e6f7a4c9-aff6-4598-b199-836053214d3f>

### vServCon

Benchmark Overview vServCon

<https://docs.ts.fujitsu.com/dl.aspx?id=b953d1f3-6f98-4b93-95f5-8c8ba3db4e59>

## Document change history

Version	Date	Description
1.5	2023-10-03	Updated.  • New Visual Identity format.
1.4	2021-07-28	Updated.  • Contact information and URLs Updated to the latest one • Minor correction •
1.3	2020-05-29	Updated.  • Technical data, STREAM, LINPACK Fixed typo in processor specifications
1.2	2020-04-24	Updated.  • Technical data Added 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family • SPECcpu2017, OLTP-2 , vServCon, STREAM, LINPACK Measured or calculated additionally with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family
1.1	2019-10-04	New:  • STREAM, LINPACK Measured with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family  Updated.  • SPECcpu2017 Measured additionally with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family

## Document change history

Version	Date	Description
1.0	2019-05-21	<p>New:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technical data</li> <li>• SPECcpu2017 Measurements with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family</li> <li>• SPECpower_ssj2008 Measurements with Intel Xeon Processor Gold 6252</li> <li>• OLTP-2 Calculated with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family</li> <li>• vServCon Calculated with 2nd Generation Intel Xeon Processor Scalable Family</li> </ul>

## Contact

### Fujitsu

Web site: <https://www.fujitsu.com>

### PRIMERGY Performance and Benchmarks

mailto:fj-benchmark@dl.jp.fujitsu.com

© Fujitsu 2023. All rights reserved. Fujitsu and Fujitsu logo are trademarks of Fujitsu Limited registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product, service and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks of Fujitsu or other companies. This document is current as of the initial date of publication and subject to be changed by Fujitsu without notice. This material is provided for information purposes only and Fujitsu assumes no liability related to its use.